

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Quarter '05 versus 2nd Quarter '06

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 61 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 2,339) and "total decrease" in ADP (-854). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 2,339 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 854 ADP. Subtracting 854 from 2,339 produces the overall increase of 1,485 between the second quarter of 2005 and the second quarter of 2006.
2. The Sacramento County ADP increase of 589 is 25.2% of the total increase of 2,339. Thirty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Mariposa Sheriff's Department) with 1 jurisdiction reporting no increase (Ventura Work Furlough Program). When you get to Ventura Work Furlough Program, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 2,339).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Butte Sheriff's Department to San Diego Work Furlough Program). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 854 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Sacramento Sheriff's Department to Riverside Sheriff's Department) is 54.9%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 54% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Oakland Police Department, San Bernardino Sheriff's Department, and San Diego Work Furlough Program) account for about 46% of the decreases. Please note that Oakland Police Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey due to the closure of its jail, which accounts for approximately 12.3% of the total decrease.